

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Glenn Mahony et al.

Group Art Unit: 2613

Serial No.: 10/606,677

Examiner: Guerssy Azemar

Filed: June 26, 2003

Confirmation No.: 5379

For: HYBRID FIBER TO THE HOME/FIBER TO THE CURB TELECOMMUNICATIONS
APPARATUS AND METHODS

Date: October 4, 2006

INTERVIEW SUMMARY UNDER MPEP §713.04


Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

On September 26, 2006, the Examiner Azemar granted Applicants' representative, Timothy J. Wall, a telephonic interview to discuss the rejections of the claims in the Official Action mailed August 1, 2006. Applicants' representative presented remarks as to distinctions between Applicant's disclosure and the cited references. Examiner Azemar did not disagree that the U.S. Published Patent Application 2002/0184644 to Lund et al., published December 5, 2002, does not disclose optical signals being delivered directly to subscriber premises, as recited in, for example, dependent Claim 9. Examiner Azemar indicated that he would like to review Applicants' points further in the form of a written response.

Applicants respectfully appreciate Examiner Azemar's assistance in discussing the rejections and efforts to advance the prosecution in the present application. A response and amendment will be forthcoming.

Respectfully submitted,




Timothy J. Wall
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 50,743

USPTO Customer No. 20792
Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A.
Post Office Box 37428
Raleigh, North Carolina 27627
Telephone: (919) 854-1400
Facsimile: (919) 854-1401

In re: Glenn Mahony et al.
Serial No. 10/606,677
Page 2

**CERTIFICATION OF ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION
UNDER 37 CFR § 1.8**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted electronically to the U.S. Patent and Trademark
Office on October 4, 2006.


Michele P. McMahan